



Meeting Minutes

Route 28 Corridor Feasibility Study
Project No. 11-0845-007
8-15-2017

A Technical Committee Meeting was held at the Prince William County Powell's Creek Conference Room, Woodbridge, VA on August 15, 2017 for the above referenced project. The following people were in attendance:

Name	Organization	Email	Phone
Calvin O'Dell	City of Manassas Park	c.odell@manassasparkva.gov	703-335-0019
Robert Iosco	VDOT	Robert.Iosco@VDOT.Virginia.gov	703-259-2764
Steve Burke	City of Manassas	sburke@ci.manassas.va.us	703-257-8476
Brian Foster	City of Manassas	bfoster@ci.manassas.va.us	703-257-8226
Chloe Delhomme	City of Manassas	cdelhomme@ci.manassas.va.gov	703-257-7235
Rick Canizales	Prince William County	rcanizales@pwcgov.org	703-792-7060
Todd Minnix	Fairfax County	Wesley.Minnix@fairfaxcounty.gov	703-877-5749
Paul Doku	Fairfax County	Paul.Doku@fairfaxcounty.gov	703-877-5716
Sree Nampoothiri	NVTA	Sree.Nampoothiri@thenovaaauthority.org	703-642-4656
Elizabeth Scullin	Prince William County	escullin@pwcgov.org	703-792-4051
Mike Longhi	NVTA	Mike.Longhi@thenovaaauthority.org	572-355-3512
Trent Berger	Prince William County	tberger@pwcgov.org	703-794-6824
Ben Ziskal	Prince William County	bziskal@pwcgov.org	703-792-8050
Sujith Racha	JMT	sracha@jmt.com	703-464-7745
Randy Boice	JMT	rboice@jmt.com	703-464-7862
Brian Curtis	JMT	bcurtis@jmt.com	804-267-1256

The purpose of this meeting was to prepare for the public meeting.

The following items were discussed:

- I. Introductions
- II. Summary of Executive Committee Meeting

The Executive Committee agreed with the Technical Committee findings that Alternative 2B was the highest ranked alternative. They had no issue with presenting the evaluation results at the same level of detail as was presented to them. The Executive Committee wanted to see the level of comments from the public prior to deciding whether another Executive Committee meeting was needed.



III. Discuss Materials for Public Meetings

a. Format

It was decided that the public meeting would be an open house format with presentation boards around the room. There also be a presentation mid-way through the meeting.

b. Role of Technical Committee

Some members of the Technical Committee will be at the public meeting. However, JMT will be responsible for providing staff to answer questions at the boards and control the sign in table.

c. Boards

Draft boards were reviewed and comments on the content were collected. JMT will make revisions to the boards and send them out to the Technical Committee for one final review prior to producing the boards.

d. Handout

The handout was reviewed and comments on the content was collected. JMT will make revisions to the handout and send it out to the Technical Committee for one final review prior to printing.

e. Presentation

Randy Boice will give a 20-minute presentation which will be followed by a question and answer session. The general public will be able to ask questions. The Q and A session will be kept brief and non-general questions will be directed to staff at the presentation boards.

f. Comment Sheet

A comment sheet will be prepared to collect input from the public. The comment sheet will not ask specific questions but ask for general comments. It will also not ask which alternative is preferred.

g. Advertisement

JMT will send the local jurisdictions information about the public meeting for advertisement on their websites, community boards, etc.

IV. Next Steps

JMT is working on preparing a draft final report for the study. JMT will document the process and results from the study into a comprehensive report that will then be distributed to the Technical Committee for review.



Prince William County will be seeking next phase funding for the next phases of the project. This would include funding to complete the NEPA document, design and construction. It is likely that federal funds would be required for a portion of the funding. Applications for projects seeking the next round of NVTA funding begins October 12, 2017.

The NEPA portion of the study will relook at the alternatives and could result in a different preferred alternative from the highest ranked alternative (Alternative 2B) identified in this study. It was recommended that this information be included within the presentation to the public. The public should also be told that there will be additional public meetings during the NEPA process which will provide additional opportunities for comments.

V. Open Discussion

A second public meeting will be held in Fairfax County. The same boards and presentation will be used. Todd Minnix is working with Supervisor Kathy Smith to find a date and location. Prince William County requested that the public meeting occur before the end of September, so the study could be wrapped up.

All materials presented at the public meeting should be posted to the study website very shortly after the public meeting. Comments should be allowed to be provided on the website. Comments would be collected for a week after the Fairfax County public meeting.